UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/828,971	04/21/2004	Charles L. Gray JR.	310121.422	5421	
34212 7590 12/21/2007 SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC			EXAMINER		
701 FIFTH AV	ENUE	BERTHEAUD, PETER JOHN			
SUITE 5400 SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3746		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/828,971		GRAY, CHARLES L.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Peter J. Bei	theaud	3746			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MORE THE MAILING DANS IN THE MORE THE MOR	ATE OF THI 136(a). In no even will apply and will e, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be tin expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 O</u>	October 2007					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Qua	yle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-8,10,11 and 13-21 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4,6-8,10,11 and 15-21 is/are rejected Claim(s) 5,13, and 14 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from cons	sideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers		•				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 April 2004</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex)⊠ accepted drawing(s) be tion is required	held in abeyance. Seed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	• •		· .	./DTO 442\			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application			

10/828,971 Art Unit: 3746

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to Amendments filed 10/2/2007. Due to new grounds of rejection the following action has been made Non Final. It is noted that claims 1, 2, and 11 have been amended, claims 9 and 12 have been cancelled, and claims 13-21 have been added.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 13 and 14 are objected to because of the following informalities: In line 1 of both claims "The pump/motor of claim 10" should to be changed to --The method of claim 10--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 8 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wahlmark 3,233,555.

Wahlmark discloses a variable displacement fluid device comprising a back plate 26 having a concave surface (see 26 in Fig. 2) configured to slideably receive a convex valve plate 62 thereon; first and second fluid ports 12, 13 formed in the concave surface and configured to transmit differentially pressurized fluid to the valve plate 62; first and

Art Unit: 3746

second reaction plates 70 coupled to the back plate 26, each having a convex reaction surface (see upper portion of channel 64) substantially facing, and spaced a selected distance from, the concave surface of the back plate. Wahlmark further discloses that the reaction surfaces of the reaction plates and the convex surface of the back plate are in the form of sections of concentric cylinders.

In addition, while features of an apparatus may be recited either structurally or functionally, claims directed to an apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function, because apparatus claims cover what a device is, not what a device does (Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc., 909 F.2d 1464, 1469, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990)). Thus, if a prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use as recited in the preamble, or elsewhere in a claim, then it meets the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 5. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 7, 16, and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being 6. unpatentable over Wahlmark 3,233,555.

Wahlmark discloses a variable displacement fluid device comprising a back plate 26 having first and second fluid ports 12, 13 configured to be differentially pressurized; a

10/828,971

Art Unit: 3746

plurality of reaction plates 70 rigidly coupled to the back plate 26; a valve plate 62 slideably coupled to the back plate 26 and having first and second fluid feed channels 80, 81 configured to receive fluid from the first and second fluid ports 12,13, and a surface configured to receive a rotatable cylinder barrel (see col. 6, lines 27-29); and a plurality of hold-down ball bearings 63 positioned in respective hold-down sockets 67 formed in the valve plate 62, each of the hold-down ball bearings 63 configured to be biased, by pressurized fluid in the respective hold-down socket, against a surface 64 of one of the reaction plates 70 (see col. 6, lines 1-19). Wahlmark also discloses a barrel 12, rotatably coupled to the valve plate 62 and having a plurality of drive cylinders 53 formed therein; a plurality of drive pistons 52, each having a first end positioned in a respective one of the plurality of drive cylinders 53; and a thrust plate 140 having a surface configured to receive second ends of each of the plurality of drive pistons 52, the thrust plate 140 coupled to a drive shaft 11 of the pump/motor. Wahlmark further discloses that each of the hold-down ball bearings 63 comprises a face conforms to the surface of the respective reaction plate 70.

Wahlmark discloses the claimed invention except for the hold-down ball bearings within sockets being hold-down pistons within cylinders. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make these ball bearings pistons, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the shape of a component. A change in shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Dailey*, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966) (see MPEP 2144.04 IV. B - Changes in Shape)

Art Unit: 3746

Wahlmark discloses the claimed invention except for their being at least six holddown pistons. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have at least six pistons in order to more evenly distribute pressure, since such a modification would amount to a mere duplication of parts. It has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. In re Harza, 274 F.2d 669, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA) 1960) (see MPEP 2144.04 VI. B – Duplication of Parts)

Wahlmark discloses the claimed invention except for at least one of the holddown pistons has a diameter that is smaller than another of the hold-down pistons. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the hold-down pistons different diameters in order to have them distribute more or less pressure to the system, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 220 F.2d 459, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955) (see MPEP 2144.04 IV. A - Changes in Size)

Claims 2 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 7. Wahlmark 3,233,555 in view of Bratt 4,991,492.

Wahlmark discloses the invention as discussed above. However, Wahlmark does not teach the following claimed limitations taught by Bratt.

Bratt discloses a axial piston machine comprising a valve plate 2, a barrel 1, a back plate 10, and a plurality of drive pistons 4, 5. Bratt further discloses that the valve plate 2 is configured to slide against the back plate 10 in an arc exceeding 40 degrees

10/828,971 Art Unit: 3746

of rotation (see Fig. 2).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the pump of Wahlmark by structuring the assembly to be able to rotate in an arc exceeding 40 degrees in order to obtain more complete pump strokes from the drive pistons.

8. Claims 3, 4, 6, 15, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wahlmark 3,233,555 in view of Forster 4,893,549.

Wahlmark discloses the invention as discussed above. However, Wahlmark does not teach the following claimed limitations taught by Forster.

Forster (Figs. 2 and 3) teaches an adjustable axial piston machine having a bent axis design comprising a back plate 9 having first and second fluid ports 21 configured to be differentially pressurized and a valve plate 6. Forster further teaches a plurality of hold-down ball pistons 15 positioned in respective hold-down cylinders 17 formed in the valve plate 6, each of the hold-down ball pistons 15 configured to be biased, by pressurized fluid in the respective hold-down cylinder 17, so as to press the valve plate 6 against a surface 8 of the back plate 9 (see col. 4, lines 50-58). Forster also teaches that the plurality of hold down pistons 15 is distributed along first and second edges of a same surface of the valve plate (see 15 in Figs. 2 and 3); wherein a central axis of hold-down cylinder 17 formed in a first side of the valve plate 6 lie in a first plane that is substantially perpendicular to the surface of the valve plate 6 lie in a second plane that is substantially perpendicular to the surface of the valve plate 6 lie in a parallel to the first

10/828,971 Art Unit: 3746

plane. Forster further teaches that at least one of the hold down pistons 15 distributed along the first edge of the valve plate 6 is in fluid communication with the first fluid feed channel 21 (through 20) and at least one of the hold-down pistons 15 distributed along the second edge of the valve plate is in fluid communication with the second fluid feed channel (see Fig. 3). Forster also teaches that each of the plurality of hold-down pistons 16 comprises an aperture passing along a central axis from a first surface to a second surface thereof (see pistons in Fig. 4); wherein the hold-down pistons (piston rods 16) comprise a fluid passage extending along a central axis thereof from a cylinder end to a face of the respective pistons.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the pump of Wahlmark by implementing a pressurized fluid distribution system which feeds fluid from two different fluid feed channels to the pistons in order to keep the valve plate slidingly balanced as well as allow for the option to pressurize the pistons on either side of the valve plate different amounts.

9. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wahlmark 3,233,555 in view of Schauer 3,382,813.

Walhmark discloses the invention as discussed above. However, Wahlmark does not specifically teach a method of coupling a first pressurized fluid source to a rotatable barrel via a first fluid feed channel in a valve plate and a first fluid port in a back plate; coupling a second pressurized fluid source to the rotatable barrel via a second fluid feed channel in the valve plate and a second fluid port in the back plate.

10/828,971 Art Unit: 3746

Schauer teaches a hydraulic pump or motor comprising a rotatable barrel 25 and a valve plate 35 within a back plate 13. Scahuer further teaches a method of coupling a first pressurized fluid source to a rotatable barrel 25 via a first fluid feed channel 80 (Fig 2) in a valve plate 35 and a first fluid port 80 (Fig. 3) in a back plate 13; coupling a second pressurized fluid source to the rotatable barrel via a second fluid feed channel 81 (Fig. 2) in the valve plate 35 and a second fluid port in the back plate 81 (Fig. 3) (see col. 4, lines 32-38).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the pump of Wahlmark by specifically teaching a method concerning the coupling of various pressurized fluid sources to a rotatable barrel so as to accommodate the direction of rotation of the barrel (Schauer, col. 4, lines 32-38).

10. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wahlmark 3,233,555 in view of Schauer 3,382,813, and in further view of Forster 4,893,549.

Wahlmark in view of Schauer discloses the invention as discussed above.

However, Wahlmark in view of Schauer does not teach the following claimed limitations taught by Forster.

Forster (Figs. 2 and 3) teaches an adjustable axial piston machine having a bent axis design comprising a back plate 9 having first and second fluid ports 21 configured to be differentially pressurized and a valve plate 6. Forster further teaches a plurality of hold-down ball pistons 15 positioned in respective hold-down cylinders 17 formed in the

10/828,971 Art Unit: 3746

valve plate 6, each of the hold-down ball pistons 15 configured to be biased, by pressurized fluid in the respective hold-down cylinder 17, so as to press the valve plate 6 against a surface 8 of the back plate 9 (see col. 4, lines 50-58). Forster further teaches that at least one of the hold down pistons 15 distributed along the first edge of the valve plate 6 is in fluid communication with the first fluid feed channel 21 (through 20) and at least one of the hold-down pistons 15 distributed along the second edge of the valve plate is in fluid communication with the second fluid feed channel (see Fig. 3).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the pump of Wahlmark by implementing a pressurized fluid distribution system which feeds fluid from two different fluid feed channels to the pistons in order to keep the valve plate slidingly balanced as well as allow for the option to pressurize the pistons on either side of the valve plate different amounts.

Response to Arguments

- 11. Applicant's arguments filed 10/2/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 12. In response to Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 8: Applicant argues that Wahlmark does not disclose a convex reaction surface substantially facing the concave surface of the back plate. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Examiner maintains that the concave arcuate track 69 does indeed curve around to face the back plate concave surface. Furthermore, the term facing is sufficiently broad; therefore, a surface

10/828,971

Art Unit: 3746

would not need to be parallel with a second surface in order to "face" it; a surface which is angled to a second surface can still be considered as "facing" it. Thus, the prior art of Wahlmark reads on the claim.

13. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

14. Claims 5, 13, and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter J. Bertheaud whose telephone number is (571) 272-3476. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Devon Kramer can be reached on (571) 272-7118. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/828,971 Art Unit: 3746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ΡĴΒ

a Bentheaud

PRIENT EN MAN 12/9/07